Exhibit No. 2

Case No. 22-cv-00384-JSR

Declaration of Rhett O. Millsaps II In Support Of Rothschild's Opposition To Plaintiffs' Motion For Summary Judgment

```
Page 1
 1
 2
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 3
                SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 4
      HERMÈS INTERNATIONAL and
 5
      HERMÈS OF PARIS INC.,
                                  )
 6
                    Plaintiffs,
                                  )
 7
                                  )
                vs.
                                       No.
 8
                                  )
                                       1:22-CV-00384-JSR
      MASON ROTHSCHILD,
 9
                   Defendant.
10
11
12
13
14
15
                            September 23, 2022
16
                            9:32 a.m.
17
18
                Deposition of BLAKE GOPNIK, held at the
19
          offices of Baker & Hostetler LLP, 45
20
          Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York,
21
          pursuant to subpoena, before Laurie A.
22
          Collins, a Registered Professional Reporter
23
          and Notary Public of the State of New York.
24
25
```

	Page 76	
1	Gopnik	
2	A. Could you read it one more time,	
3	please.	
4	Q. I'll rephrase the question.	
5	Do you agree that the connection with 11:25:3	1
6	Hermès contributed to the high value of Mason	
7	Rothschild's works?	
8	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	
9	A. I would say the connection to products	
10	produced by Hermès as content of his work could 11:25:5	1
11	have contributed to it.	
12	Q. Do you agree that Rothschild's art	
13	would have had far less significance if it had not	
14	crossed over into Hermès-style branding?	
15	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection. 11:26:2	1
16	Q. You can answer.	
17	A. Yeah, let me just think about that.	
18	Could you rephrase it could you repeat the	
19	question, please, just to make sure	
20	MR. FERGUSON: Could you read back the	
21	question.	
22	A. I want to make sure I understand it	
23	perfectly.	
24	MR. FERGUSON: Could you read it back.	
25	(Record read.) 11:26:5	1

	Pa	ge 77
1	Gopnik	
2	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	
3	A. I don't believe it crossed over I	
4	don't know what crossing into Hermès style	
5	branding means.	11:26:58
6	Q. I'd like to direct you to page 11 of	
7	your report.	
8	A. Yeah.	
9	Q. Direct you to the sentence that begins	
LO	six lines down: Rothschild's art would have far	11:27:29
L1	less significance if it had not crossed over into	
L2	Hermès-style branding.	
L3	A. I see that sentence, yes.	
L 4	Q. You wrote that statement?	
L5	A. I did.	11:27:47
L 6	Q. And do you agree with it?	
L 7	A. I think it's badly phrased. The phrase	
18	"crossed over" should have been specified more	
L 9	clearly by me what I meant by that.	
20	Q. Okay. Looking at this in context now,	11:27:58
21	what do you mean by "crossed over"?	
22	A. Engaged with, I would say, engaged with	
23	as content of the art.	
24	Q. What is the difference between crossing	
25	over and engaging with?	11:28:12

	Pa	ige 78
1	Gopnik	
2	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	
3	A. I would say that crossing over into	
4	branding makes it sound as though it's a branding	
5	exercise, whereas if I said engaged with or	11:28:21
6	engaged with as content it would make clear that	
7	that was part of what was being discussed, if you	
8	like, in the art.	
9	Q. Referring to the last sentence of the	
10	paragraph where you state, quote, And to be	11:28:50
11	successful as business art, Rothschild's work	
12	needs to have the closest of connections with	
13	visibly successful business.	
14	Is the visibly successful business	
15	you're referring to there Hermès?	11:29:13
16	A. It could have a connection with any	
17	visibly successful business. And by "connection"	
18	I mean an artistic connection.	
19	Q. Do MetaBirkins have connections with	
20	any visibly successful business other than Hermès?	11:29:28
21	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	
22	A. An artistic connection with I would	
23	say they have a connection to the entire world of	
24	deluxe handbags, including Hermès.	
25	Q. The name Birkin, does that refer to the	11:29:51

	Page 122
1	Gopnik
2	team of people working on any of his projects,
3	including his literary projects. His texts are
4	often meant to mislead and to confuse as well.
5	Q. Do you have a belief as to whether Andy 01:26:18
6	Warhol made the statement that business art is the
7	step that comes after art?
8	A. It is present in texts that bear his
9	name.
LO	I'm sorry if I'm being difficult about 01:26:30
L1	this. But figuring out what Andy Warhol meant or
L2	said is complicated.
L3	Q. Understood.
L 4	Although you've spent as much time what
L 5	Andy Warhol meant or said as just about anyone out 01:26:43
L 6	there.
L 7	A. That's why it takes so long, because
18	it's complicated.
L 9	Q. And in your research have you drawn any
20	conclusions as to whether Andy Warhol made the 01:26:51
21	statement that business art comes after art?
22	A. My conclusions about that statement was
23	that it was actually component in his business art
24	practice.
25	Q. And what do you mean that it's a 01:27:11

	Page 123
1	Gopnik
2	component in his business art practice?
3	A. That is, Andy Warhol being a kind of a
4	trickster needed to pretend to be done with art in
5	order to become this new thing called a business 01:27:20
6	artist. So by saying it's the step that comes
7	after art, he was saying that well, there's two
8	things he was saying. One is that it's the latest
9	step in art, it comes after art because
LO	traditional art was dead. In other words, he was 01:27:36
L1	saying this is a knew avant-garde practice.
L2	But he had to also present himself in
L3	part as a businessperson, someone who was no
L 4	longer making art, in order for his business art
L5	to have its maximum resonance and complexity, and 01:27:47
L 6	he did that reliably thereafter.
L 7	Q. Is making money an example of business
18	art?
L9	A. Not in every case, no.
20	Q. Can making money be an example of 01:28:15
21	business art?
22	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. In what circumstances is making money
25	an example of business art? 01:28:22

	Page	e 158
1	Gopnik	
2	was using there were no brands in Rembrandt's	
3	day, obviously. It wasn't a phenomenon in the	
4	culture. But I was talking about the establishing	
5	of a larger Rembrandtian enterprise that didn't	02:26:23
6	necessarily have to do with his hands.	
7	So to that extent the title is correct.	
8	It's not about what he touched but a larger sense	
9	of a studio producing a kind of object. And those	
10	objects of course function as art but they also	02:26:37
11	function as commodities in a culture in a	
12	commercial culture.	
13	Q. You refer in the article to Rembrandt,	
14	Inc.; is that correct?	
15	A. Facetiously, yes.	02:26:49
16	Q. What were you referring to facetiously	
17	as Rembrandt, Inc.?	
18	A. Well, there were no such things as	
19	corporations in Rembrandt's day. I was referring	
20	to metaphorically, really, to a larger studio	02:26:59
21	cultural, an enterprise, if you like, that	
22	involved Rembrandt but also assistants, and one of	
23	whose goal was in fact to sell the work.	
24	Q. Is the brand you're referring to here	
25	the name Rembrandt?	02:27:18

	Page	≥ 160
1	Gopnik	
2	A. I am obviously speaking widely	
3	metaphorically here, and in writing this I'm sure	
4	I didn't think through it in great detail. I was	
5	trying to conjure an image.	02:29:23
6	But I assume that a certain kind of	
7	traditional Catholic once upon a time would have	
8	distinguished between Crowns of Thorns that were	
9	on Jesus's head at some point versus one that had	
10	been made later. But since I'm not at all	02:29:39
11	convinced there was a Crown of Thorns on Jesus's	
12	head, it's an obviously very figurative way of	
13	speaking.	
14	Q. And in the example you gave, the one	
15	that was made later was the counterfeit?	02:29:58
16	A. Yes, correct.	
17	Q. I'd like to	
18	A. I want to clarify, I was speaking	
19	metaphorically.	
20	Q. Okay.	02:30:16
21	I'd like to show you a document that	
22	has been previously marked as Exhibit 6.	
23	MR. SPRIGMAN: I want one of these.	
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think they're	
25	great.	02:31:01

	Pag	e 161
1	Gopnik	
2	(Pause.)	
3	Q. Have you seen this document before	
4	today?	
5	A. I've not, no.	02:31:18
6	Q. Have you seen the shirt depicted in	
7	the the large shirt depicted on the first page	
8	of this document before today?	
9	A. I don't believe I ever have, no.	
10	When you say "large," is that a	02:31:37
11	normal is not a normal shirt?	
12	Q. Because there was a small blue shirt in	
13	the bottom corner, I was referring to the large	
14	white red shirt in the center of the page.	
15	A. Got it.	02:31:59
16	Q. Are you aware that Mason Rothschild	
17	sold a line of classic collegiate apparel inspired	
18	T-shirts for art and fashion students?	
19	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	
20	A. I'm not aware of that.	02:32:11
21	Q. I'd like you to assume in this question	
22	that the Parsons T-shirt shown in this document	
23	was created and sold by Mason Rothschild. Is this	
24	T-shirt art?	
25	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	02:32:57

	Page 207	
1	Gopnik	
2	Q. And that this NFT is called	
3	MetaBirkins.	
4	Do you have an opinion whether based on	
5	those facts this NFT is a work of art? 03:51	23
6	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	
7	A. I can never have an opinion about	
8	whether something is a work of art based on such a	
9	limited set of facts. It does seem to interact	
LO	with the other things that other Birkins I've 03:51	:37
L1	seen which in larger context do seem to be works	
L2	of art.	
L3	Q. What else would you need to know about	
L 4	this what's depicted in this exhibit in order	
L5	to determine whether it's a work of art? 03:51	59
L 6	A. Well, among other things what I want to	
L 7	do I guess I could do it right here. It seems	
18	to be one of the other MetaBirkins covered with a	
L 9	sheet, which would actually indicate to me more	
20	rather than less that it's participating in a 03:52	15
21	larger artistic project.	
22	It seems to be riffing on other items	
23	from the MetaBirkins repertoire. And the pedestal	
24	with a draped object on top of it very much refers	
25	to statuary in the history of art. The act of 03:52	34

	Page 208
1	Gopnik
2	unveiling a statue is something that exists in the
3	history of art. So it seems very much to be part
4	of that discourse.
5	So, yes, the answer is I would say yes, 03:52:47
6	it does seem to be understood in an artistic
7	context.
8	Q. You talked earlier about how the
9	digital files associated with NFTs can change.
10	A. Yes, that's correct, can be changed. I 03:53:16
11	don't think they usually change spontaneously.
12	Q. Do you have any understanding as if at
13	any point since the MetaBirkin NFTs were first
14	offered for sale if the image associated with
15	MetaBirkins NFTs have changed? 03:53:40
16	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.
17	A. There would be no way for me to know
18	that. I would have to see the same digital file
19	at two periods of time and then do a forensic
20	analysis of whether a change had been made. 03:54:00
21	That's not part of my expertise.
22	Q. I'm going to represent to you when the
23	MetaBirkin NFTs produced by Mason Rothschild were
24	first offered for sale that the images associated
25	with the NFTs looked like the image in Exhibit 57, 03:54:20

	Pag	e 244
1	Gopnik	
2	There's usually it takes a bunch of	
3	different people to finally come up with something	
4	out there. I assume there's a technician to mount	
5	just in charge of literally mounting them on	04:50:58
6	whatever server they're living on.	
7	Q. In your report you reference that you	
8	had seen a number of documents that were that	
9	have Rothschild Bates stamps. That all appears on	
10	page 3 of your report.	04:51:13
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. A number of those documents you	
13	reference in fact, I believe most if not all of	
14	them involve communications with Mr. Rothschild	
15	and Mark Design?	04:51:22
16	A. Yes, that's right.	
17	Q. And do you have an understanding of the	
18	role of Mark Design in creating the MetaBirkin	
19	images associated with MetaBirkin NFTs?	
20	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	04:51:34
21	A. Yes, his role in the production of	
22	them, I'd say.	
23	Q. And what was his role in production?	
24	A. Well, I'd have to look at them in	
25	detail. He seemed to play several different	04:51:41

	Pag	e 245
1	Gopnik	
2	roles. He was in a rich, collaborative	
3	relationship. He was functioning as a high-level	
4	studio assistant. That's what studio assistants	
5	do.	04:51:53
6	Q. Is business art recognized as a genre	
7	in the field of art criticism?	
8	MR. SPRIGMAN: Objection.	
9	A. Yes, it goes by various different	
10	names. Most genres do. It's also called commerce	04:52:49
11	by artist. Some people might call it a branch of	
12	relational aesthetics. But it's well recognized	
13	as a movement or component in the history of	
14	contemporary art. There have been exhibitions	
15	about it; there are books about it.	04:53:04
16	Q. Is commerce by artists the same thing	
17	as business art?	
18	A. There is no specific definition of	
19	business art or of commerce by artists. There are	
20	elaborate you know, there are a set of, as it	04:53:15
21	were, Venn diagrams.	
22	Different people might have slightly	
23	different ways. That's true of minimalism, pop	
24	art. Name any kind of art you could name, and	
25	there would be different ways of thinking about it	04:53:27

Γ	
	Page 255
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4	: ss.
5	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
6	
7	I, LAURIE A. COLLINS, a Registered
8	Professional Reporter and Notary Public
9	within and for the State of New York, do
10	hereby certify:
11	That BLAKE GOPNIK, the witness whose
12	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was
13	duly sworn by me and that such deposition
14	is a true record of the testimony given by
15	the witness.
16	I further certify that I am not
17	related to any of the parties to this
18	action by blood or marriage and that I am
19	in no way interested in the outcome of this
20	matter.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
22	set my hand this 27th day of September
23	2022.
24	
	Laure a Coa
25	LAURIE A. COLLINS, RPR